

The following email exchanges occurred between @missanonnews @occupyrebellion and Attorney Jay Leiderman.

-----Original Message-----

From: laniriseup.net [<mailto:laniriseup.net>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 1:27 PM

To: Jay@Criminal-Lawyer.me

Subject: Dates for Motion to Quash

Importance: High

Hi Jay,

Doe is filing a Motion to Quash in Santa Clara Superior Court case 1-14CH005460. What Fridays at 9 am are you available in May so hearing can be scheduled?

Thanks,

Lani

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay Leiderman [<mailto:jay@criminal-lawyer.me>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 1:34 PM

To: laniriseup.net

Subject: RE: Dates for Motion to Quash

Hi;

Who are you, who is "Doe" and what Motion to Quash is this referring to? Has the court told you that this must be heard on a Friday? Thank you.

JAY LEIDERMAN

CERTIFIED CRIMINAL LAW SPECIALIST

CALIFORNIA BAR BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION

LAW OFFICES OF JAY LEIDERMAN

5740 RALSTON STREET SUITE #300

VENTURA, CALIFORNIA 93003

TEL: (805) 654 0200

FAX: (805) 654 0280

EMAIL: <<mailto:jay@leidermandevine.com>> JAY@CRIMINAL-LAWYER.ME

WEB: <<http://www.jayleiderman.com/>> WWW.JAYLEIDERMAN.COM

-----Original Message-----

From: lani@riseup.net [<mailto:lani@riseup.net>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 1:48 PM

To: Jay Leiderman

Subject: RE: Dates for Motion to Quash

Hi Jay,

MissAnonNews was told by the court in California that they schedule hearings for Motions to Quash on Fridays. What Fridays are good for you?

Thanks,

Lani

-----Original Message-----

From: Jay Leiderman [<mailto:jay@criminal-lawyer.me>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 2:00 PM

To: lani@riseup.net

Subject: RE: Dates for Motion to Quash

Excuse me, Ma'am, but I don't know who you are and it is not polite to address someone by their first name unless you are granted permission to do so. I'll thank you to please refer to me as Mr. Leiderman.

Please identify yourself. Are you counsel for MissAnonNews? If so, what is your California bar number and what is your name? What standing do you have to make such a request of me? As you are aware, counsel is required to meet and confer about such motions prior to simply setting them. MissAnonNews is welcome to call me now to discuss this motion. If you are MissAnonNews, please know that we will not be waiving any personal presence requirements, so we look forward to meeting you in person. If you are not a lawyer and not MissAnonNews, you are not entitled to practice law in California and are abusing the process of the court and we will be filing an OSC re: contempt for the next set date - the 12th of May. She is not entitled to have a non-legal representative make demands of my schedule.

No notice requirements will be waived, so an appropriate motion must be sent in a timely manner. I will be happy to discuss available dates with either MissAnonNews, appearing in pro per, or with her attorney.

-----Original Message-----

From: Amelia Sanaka [<mailto:ameliasanaka2013@yandex.com>]

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 2:44 PM

To: jay@criminal-lawyer.me

Subject: Dear Mr. Leiderman,

Dear Mr. Leiderman,

I am filing a Motion to Quash the Subpoena for my Twitter accounts in California Superior Court case 1-14CH005460. I was told by the court that they hear the motions on Friday mornings at 9 a.m. As I am representing myself, I need you to agree to times you are available.

Please email me the dates you're available as I am physically disabled and cannot speak on the telephone and this way or by FAX are the only ways I can confer without someone else assisting me or speaking on my behalf.

Thank you,

MissAnonNews

-----Original Message-----

On Apr 16, 2014, at 20:18, Jay Leiderman <jay@criminal-lawyer.me> wrote:

What is your name? Are you representing via this email that your name is Amelia Sanaka and that you are the Twitter entity known as MissAnonNews? I am entitled to know who I am dealing with. Also, I need to know if you intend to personally appear at the hearing. Obviously, if you say you are personally appearing and do not, we will seek appropriate sanctions.

Moreover, if you send me your motion, and I look it over, I may agree to withdraw the subpoena. So please send the motion and I will determine if I will withdraw the subpoena and how long it will take me to respond. Thank you.

JAY LEIDERMAN

CERTIFIED CRIMINAL LAW SPECIALIST

CALIFORNIA BAR BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION LAW OFFICES OF JAY LEIDERMAN

5740 RALSTON STREET SUITE #300

VENTURA, CALIFORNIA 93003

TEL: (805) 654 0200

FAX: (805) 654 0280

EMAIL: JAY@CRIMINAL-LAWYER.ME

WEB: WWW.JAYLEIDERMAN.COM

-----Original Message-----

On Apr 16, 2014, at 20:18, Amelia Sanaka <ameliasanaka2013@yandex.com> wrote:

Dear Mr. Leiderman,

I appreciate your prompt response and I have attached my motion to quash.

In response to your question about my identity, my name is not Amelia Sanaka. It is a pseudonym I am using to contact you and the courts. As you are a lawyer representing members of the hactivist group Anonymous, I am sure you understand the value of being able to exercise my First Amendment rights without revealing my identity.

It would defeat the whole purpose of trying to protect my anonymity and the arguments in my motion to quash if I were to divulge my identity to Plaintiffs' counsel. I assure you I am NOT Thomas Retzlaff, nor do I know him, so my information should not be relevant to your case. Thank you.

Sincerely,
MissAnonNews

[114CH005460-Motion-to-Quash-Anonymously.pdf \(26148125\)](#)

-----Original Message-----

From: "Jay@criminal-lawyer.me" <Jay@criminal-lawyer.me>
Date: April 16, 2014 at 22:03:33 PDT
To: Amelia Sanaka <ameliasanaka2013@yandex.com>
Subject: Re: Dear Mr. Leiderman,

What you have sent is not in a readable format and I do not waive formal service. Please have a paper copy properly served upon me in accordance with the Code of Civil Procedure. I will not click on foreign links. I do not trust you.

If you wish to act as your own lawyer, you must be prepared to be bound by the rules lawyers are bound by.

Also, please note we'll be filing a counter motion under the stored communications act once you file this with the court.

We will also be serving you with process on the federal suit in court, but then you must expect that at this point. That's why we know this is a game. Otherwise, you would be willing to accept service in the federal case.

Please start acting professionally. Thank you.

Jay Leiderman

5740 Ralston St #300

Ventura, California 93003

805-654-0200

Sent from my iPhone

-----Original Message-----

From: Amelia Sanaka <ameliasanaka2013@yandex.com>
Date: April 17, 2014 at 16:05:45 PDT
To: "Jay@criminal-lawyer.me" <jay@criminal-lawyer.me>
Subject: Re: Dear Mr. Leiderman,

Dear Mr. Leiderman,

Here is a reformatted version of the PDF that is more readable and is properly formatted.

I am a disabled woman representing myself because I do not have the means to hire a lawyer. I am doing my best to be as "professional" as I can, and it is clear you are the one playing games and acting in bad faith to avoid resolution.

I've come to you in good faith and have given you everything you have asked for except for my identity, because I am afraid your client is going to try to harm me either physically or in some other manner. I've documented he has "identified" me as many different people, and all of these people have been stalked in association with your client and his online troll friends. Some have had their identities stolen and have been caused real harm. My fear is legitimate.

For example, a Twitter account was created yesterday, @AmeliaSanaka. I have used the "Amelia Sanaka" pseudonym SOLELY to communicate with you and on my yet unfiled court motion. Clearly you have shown my emails to your client and he - or someone associated with him - made that Twitter account for some ill purpose, because I did not create it.

I am a third party, not the defendant, in this Workplace Harassment suit you've brought against Thomas Retzlaff, and you are trying to create unreasonable burdens on me.

Lawyers have used PDF files for well over a decade, and your client has posted PDFs of your lawsuits, so I know you can read the file I sent you. You told me to send you the motion and you'd consider dismissing my portion of the subpoena, and I did, and now you are asking for it to be sent in paper form to create unnecessary burden and expenses for me. I did not send you any outside link, and I certainly would not send a sworn officer of the court a file infected with malware.

I am also not Lane Lipton, who you seem to be alluding I am in your previous email.

Since you have stated your intention to oppose my motion, please let me know what Friday dates you are available.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Thank you,
MissAnonNews

-----Original Message-----

From: "Jay@criminal-lawyer.me" <Jay@criminal-lawyer.me>

Date: April 25, 2014 at 15:03:33 PDT

To: Amelia Sanaka <ameliasanaka2013@yandex.com>

Cc: Neal Rauhauser nrauhauser@gmail.com

Subject: Service and Dates - MissAnonNews Motion To Quash

Ms. Sanaka;

You emailed me a link purporting to be a .pdf motion to quash a subpoena on two consecutive days last week. They appear to have both been associated not with an actual .pdf but with a Russian site. The Russian site, Yandex, is the same Russian site that has been used to attack board members of ViaView through anonymous Yandex emails, like the one you are using.

I wish to congratulate you. You suckered me into clicking both links, and whatever you had behind those links severely messed up both my mobile device and my desktop. I have no doubt that was your intention. It has been reported to the FBI. I know you are confident that you will not be identified. Good luck with that. The pool of suspects is pretty small. In any event, I have no intention of having my communications disrupted any further.

Accordingly, we will not come to a pre-litigation accord, since I am unable to read your documents and you are unable to comport yourself as a litigant is expected to. I am available Friday the 23rd of May for a filing date, though it makes little difference at this point.

It is my intent to inform the court of these events on the 12th of May, when we have our presently set hearing related to Mr. Retzlaff, your co-conspirator. At that time, I will ask the court to prohibit this motion as a sanction for your intentional behavior.

This will be our last email contact. I will no longer open emails from you, as they pose a danger to my cyber-security. If you wish to communicate with me, you may use the US mail. If you wish to serve a motion on me that I am able to actually read, you may use the US mail, as is required under the rules. If that is too great a burden, too bad. There is no ADA exception to the normal service requirement, and I will not make one for you based upon your ludicrous behavior. You could have simply sent an actual .pdf, instead of routing me to a Russian malware site. You chose cyberwarfare over civility. I will not make an exception to appropriate service for someone that has compromised my computer security on two devices.

I waited until today to send this email, as I think you're the kind of person that would try to file a motion on shortened time knowing they would have disabled my ability to respond on an infected computer. Next Friday is the first time that the motion can be calendared on shortened notice, and I do not agree to that date, nor am I available until the 23rd. Since you are disabled, as you claim, and unable to use the phone for a meet and confer and you can't afford to mail the motion, and have no attorney, as you claim, I fail to see how you will show up in court. Indeed, I believe this to be a ploy to make Mr. McGibney spend money on responding to nonsense.

We'll bring a motion for sanctions and to compel the MissAnonNews / OccupyRebellion documents on the 12th, and we'll be sure to include the correspondence from you as exhibits. It'll also get filed with a similar motion in the federal case when the time comes. Sice I have no hard address at which to serve you, I guess you will have to obtain a copy from the court. Look for it by the end of next week.

Remember, there will be no more emails from unknown addresses opened, so please only send me correspondence via the mails, otherwise I will not receive your communication at all. If you feel this is some ploy to get you under federal jurisdiction by using the mails, I would encourage you to commit no more crimes. That's simple, isn't it?

I doubt I'll see you on the 12th, but perhaps I will indeed see you, "Ms. Sanaka." These games are pathetic, and I'm optimistic that your days of playing these games are drawing to a close. I look forward to addressing these issues with the judge in both state and federal court.

JAY LEIDERMAN

CERTIFIED CRIMINAL LAW SPECIALIST
CALIFORNIA BAR BOARD OF LEGAL SPECIALIZATION

LAW OFFICES OF JAY LEIDERMAN
5740 RALSTON STREET SUITE #300
VENTURA, CALIFORNIA 93003

TEL: (805) 654 0200

FAX: (805) 654 0280

EMAIL: JAY@CRIMINAL-LAWYER.ME

WEB: WWW.JAYLEIDERMAN.COM